## EXHIBIT 415

```
1
            IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2
            FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
3
                      EASTERN DIVISION
4
5
     IN RE: NATIONAL
                                  : MDL NO. 2804
6
     PRESCRIPTION OPIATE
     LITIGATION
7
8
     THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO : CASE NO.
                                  : 1:17-MD-2804
     ALL CASES
9
                                     Hon. Dan A.
10
                                  : Polster
11
12
                      January 30, 2019
13
         HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO FURTHER
14
                   CONFIDENTIALITY REVIEW
15
16
                   Videotaped deposition of JANET
    GETZEY HART taken pursuant to notice, was held at
    the law offices of Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP,
17
    1701 Market Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania,
18
    beginning at 9:34 a.m., on the above date, before
    Ann Marie Mitchell, a Federally Approved
    Certified Realtime Reporter, Registered Diplomate
19
    Reporter, Registered Merit Reporter and Notary
20
    Public.
21
22
                 GOLKOW LITIGATION SERVICES
            877.370.3377 ph | 917.591.5672 fax
                      deps@golkow.com
23
2.4
```

- distribution center from our corporate office,
- 2 based on an individual store's movement.
- Q. The ordering process you're
- 4 talking about there, is that the auto
- 5 replenishment system?
- 6 A. It is.
- 7 Q. So the auto replenishment system
- 8 is part of your suspicious order monitoring
- 9 program?
- 10 A. It is.
- 11 Q. How long has the auto
- 12 replenishment system been in place for?
- 13 A. As far back as I know, as I can
- 14 recall.
- Q. Even as your time as a
- pharmacist?
- 17 A. I don't know that.
- 18 Q. But --
- 19 A. Definitely since 1995.
- Q. How is the auto replenishment
- 21 system used in the Rite Aid suspicious order
- 22 monitoring system?
- MS. McENROE: Objection to form.
- THE WITNESS: It is utilized to

1 that a red flag of diversion? 2 Α. It could be. 3 Does the auto replenishment Ο. 4 system look at any of the red flags of diversion 5 you just discussed in your previous answer? 6 MS. McENROE: Objection to form. 7 THE WITNESS: It does not. 8 BY MR. POWERS: 9 So if those red flags of 0. 10 diversion that we just talked about were 11 occurring, forged prescriptions, paying in cash, 12 things like that, the auto replenishment system 13 would not have any way of detecting that. Right? 14 MS. McENROE: Objection to form. 15 THE WITNESS: It would not. 16 BY MR. POWERS: 17 0. You also talked about the auto 18 replenishment system relying on of data. Is that just the dispensing data? 19 20 Α. Yes. 21 And that's just purely the volume Ο. 22 of product dispensed. Right? 23 MS. McENROE: Objection to form. 24 THE WITNESS: Yes. Dispensed to

- or procedures about how the distribution center
- was supposed to determine whether an order was
- 3 suspicious?
- MS. McENROE: Objection to form.
- 5 THE WITNESS: There are policies
- and procedures to determine a suspicious
- order, yes.
- 8 BY MR. POWERS:
- 9 Q. You were talking about the
- distribution center looking at the history of the
- order.
- A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Was there any guidance given to
- 14 the distribution centers to determine what would
- be used to determine, regarding order history,
- what was suspicious?
- MS. McENROE: Objection, form.
- 18 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the
- 19 question?
- 20 BY MR. POWERS:
- Q. Sure.
- You said the distribution center
- employees knew the stores they were picking for.
- 24 Right?

```
1 A. Correct.
```

- 2 Q. And they gained that knowledge
- 3 through picking for those stores periodically
- 4 during their jobs. Right?
- MS. McENROE: Objection to form.
- 6 THE WITNESS: Correct. They pick
- a store once a week.
- 8 BY MR. POWERS:
- 9 Q. So besides just being the one who
- 10 picks that store every week, was there any way --
- any other way that the distribution center
- employees were supposed to determine whether or
- 13 not an order was suspicious?
- MS. McENROE: Objection to form.
- THE WITNESS: To the best of my
- knowledge, no.
- 17 BY MR. POWERS:
- Q. And in paragraph 4 here, it says,
- 19 "Any order which is determined to be suspicious
- will be immediately reported to the corporate
- 21 office."
- Who at the corporate office would
- the suspicious orders be reported to?
- A. That would be me.

- Q. Were any suspicious orders ever
- 2 reported to you at the corporate office?
- 3 A. There were none reported to me.
- Q. And to be clear, you never
- 5 received a report of a suspicious order your
- 6 entire time working in the corporate office from
- 7 1995 through 2018. Correct?
- 8 A. I did not.
- 9 Q. Going down to paragraph 5, it
- says, if a suspicious order is reported to
- 11 corporate, the corporate government affairs will
- determine whether to "ship" or "do not ship."
- Do you see that?
- 14 A. I do.
- Q. And this is the same corporate
- office that we just referred to, the government
- 17 affairs office. Right?
- 18 A. That is correct.
- 19 Q. So that would be you?
- A. That would be me.
- Q. How would you make the
- determination of whether to ship or not ship?
- A. There would be a number of
- factors that would come into play. The very

- 1 first factor that I would look at is if it was an
- auto ship order, that it came through the
- 3 algorithm and that was what the algorithm
- 4 provided. That would be a key one.
- A second one would be to look at
- 6 the size of the order, to determine if the
- 7 unusual size of it was due to something at the
- 8 pharmacy that was placing the order, if there was
- 9 something unusual happening at that pharmacy.
- Q. Anything else you would look at?
- 11 A. That would be it.
- Q. Was there any written policy or
- 13 procedure about how to make that decision about
- whether to ship or not ship?
- 15 A. To the best of my knowledge, no.
- Q. So the factors you just testified
- about that you would use to determine whether to
- ship or not ship, those were just ones that you
- 19 yourself personally came up with. Right?
- 20 A. Yes.
- MS. McENROE: Objection to form.
- THE WITNESS: Sorry.
- 23 BY MR. POWERS:
- Q. What were those based on, those

- 1 factors based on?
- A. Based on my knowledge of the
- industry, based on my years of experience having
- 4 dealt with the DEA for a period of -- for a long
- 5 period of time, and knowing how to review a store
- 6 as far as its book of business, going way back to
- 7 even my days as the pharmacy district manager in
- 8 the Baltimore market.
- 9 Q. But to be clear, you never had to
- make the decision whether to ship or not ship
- because you never received any report of a
- 12 suspicious order. Right?
- 13 A. That is correct.
- Q. And going down to paragraph 6, it
- says, "All discussions, investigations and
- 16 reports will be maintained in the file designated
- 'Suspicious Orders.'"
- Do you see that?
- 19 A. I do.
- O. Am I correct to assume that there
- was no file designated suspicious orders because
- there were no suspicious orders?
- A. You are correct.
- Q. Who would keep that file, if

- 1 pharmacy district manager as a result of the
- threshold log, do you specifically recall any
- instances where you contacted the pharmacy
- 4 district manager as a result of hydrocodone
- 5 orders?
- A. I don't remember specific to
- <sup>7</sup> hydrocodone.
- 8 O. How about specific to any opioid
- 9 product that Rite Aid distributed?
- MS. McENROE: Objection to form.
- THE WITNESS: I do not.
- 12 BY MR. POWERS:
- Q. Did you use these threshold logs
- that you were reviewing quarterly to determine
- whether particular orders were suspicious orders?
- A. I did not.
- Q. Do you know if Chris Belli and
- 18 Kevin Mitchell used these logs to determine if
- orders were suspicious orders?
- MS. McENROE: Objection to form.
- THE WITNESS: I do not.
- 22 BY MR. POWERS:
- Q. To be clear, when you got these
- threshold logs, these orders reflected in the

threshold logs had already been shipped. 1 2 Correct? 3 A. Yes. 4 I'm going to hand you what's been Q. 5 marked as Rite Aid Exhibit Number -- or Rite 6 Aid Hart Exhibit Number 6. It's a multi-page 7 document with the Bates number 8 Rite\_Aid\_OMDL\_46227 through 46319. It's a pretty 9 long document. I'm just going to ask you 10 questions about the first page. 11 MS. McENROE: And, Will, do 12 you -- can you reference this is a 13 complete document? 14 MR. POWERS: I believe, once 15 again, it's the range that was identified 16 in the interrogatory responses. 17 18 (Deposition Exhibit No. Rite 19 Aid-Hart-6, Rite Aid Controlled Drug 20 Reporting Above Average Controlled Drug 21 Purchases Report, Bates stamped 22 Rite\_Aid\_OMDL\_0046227 through 23 Rite Aid OMDL 0046319, was marked for 24 identification.)

```
Mitchell.
1
2
                    Who was Andrew Palmer?
3
            Α.
                    Andrew Palmer was a director in
4
    asset protection at the time, I believe.
5
                    Why did you invite him to this
             0.
6
    meeting about suspicious order monitoring?
7
                    Because he was also key as part
             Α.
8
    of it as well. Him and his team were involved
9
    with the analytics related to asset protection
10
    and the analytics related to the key performance
11
    indicators that were looked at from the asset
12
    protection side.
13
                    How did you use the -- let me
             0.
14
    back up.
15
                    Did you use the asset protection
16
    analytics to determine whether orders were
17
    suspicious or not?
18
                    MS. McENROE: Objection to form.
19
                    THE WITNESS: We used the asset
20
            protection analytics to review orders and
21
             look for abnormalities. We did not use
22
             the analytics from asset protection prior
23
             to an order being shipped.
24
    BY MR. POWERS:
```

- things that we talked about in the daily column
- here on the "Specialist, Regulatory Compliance"
- page, you said those were being done at the
- 4 distribution center. Right?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. What's your basis for saying
- 7 that?
- 8 A. The distribution center was
- 9 responsible for the picking, reviewing the
- orders, determining if it was suspicious or not,
- 11 making the phone call to the pharmacist. And at
- the same time, if there was a suspicious order,
- the distribution center was responsible to report
- that in to corporate. That was part of their
- standard operating procedures.
- 16 Q. I just want to be clear. You
- just said that the distribution center was
- 18 responsible for determining whether a particular
- order was suspicious or not; is that right?
- 20 A. The distribution center was
- 21 responsible for identifying orders and
- determining if they were suspicious, yes.
- Q. Moving on to the next page, the
- one entitled "Senior Analyst, Controlled